arXiv:1601.06037v2 [cs.IT] 9 Dec 2016

Finite Field Matrix Channels for Network Coding

Simon R. Blackburn and Jessica Claridge
Department of Mathematics
Royal Holloway University of London
Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

Abstract

In 2010, Silva, Kschischang and Kötter studied certain classes of finite field matrix channels in order to model random linear network coding where exactly t random errors are introduced.

In this paper we introduce a generalisation of these matrix channels that allows the modelling of channels with a varying number of errors. We show that a capacity-achieving input distribution can always be taken to have a very restricted form (the distribution should be uniform given the rank of the input matrix). This result complements, and is inspired by, a paper of Nobrega, Silva and Uchoa-Filho, that establishes a similar result for a class of matrix channels that model network coding with link erasures. Our result shows that the capacity of our channels can be expressed as a maximisation over probability distributions on the set of possible ranks of input matrices: a set of linear rather than exponential size.

1 Introduction

Network coding, first defined in [1], allows intermediate nodes of a network to compute with and modify data, as opposed to the traditional view of nodes as 'on/off' switches. This can increase the rate of information flow through a network. It is shown in [8] that linear network coding is sufficient to maximise information flow in multicast problems, that is when there is one source node and information is to be transmitted to a set of sink nodes. Moreover, in [6] it is shown that for general multisource multicast problems, random linear network coding achieves capacity with probability exponentially approaching 1 with the code length.

In random linear network coding, the source injects packets into the network; these packets can be thought of as vectors of length m with entries in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (where q is a fixed power of a prime). The packets flow through a network of unknown topology to a sink node. Each intermediate node forwards packets that are random linear combinations of the packets it has received. A sink node attempts to reconstruct the message from these packets. In this context, Silva, Kschischang and Kötter [13] studied a channel defined as follows. We write $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ to denote the set of all $n \times m$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and write $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ for the set of all invertible matrices in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times n}$.

Definition 1. The *Multiplicative Matrix Channel* (MMC) has input set $\mathcal{X}$ and output set $\mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. The channel law is

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}$$

where $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ is chosen uniformly at random.

Here the rows of $\boldsymbol{X}$ correspond to the packets transmitted by the source, the rows of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ are the packets received by the sink, and the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ corresponds to the linear combinations of packets computed by the intermediate nodes.

Inspired by Montanari and Urbanke [9], Silva *et al* modelled the introduction of random errors into the network by considering the following generalisation of the MMC. We write $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}$ for the set of all $n \times m$ matrices of rank r.

Definition 2. The *Additive Multiplicative Matrix Channel with t errors* (AMMC) has input set $\mathcal{X}$ and output set $\mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. The channel law is

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{W})$$

where $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ and $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,t}$ are chosen uniformly and independently at random.

So the matrix $\boldsymbol{W}$ corresponds to the assumption that exactly t linearly independent random errors have been introduced. The MMC is exactly the AMMC with zero errors.

We note that the AMMC is very different from the error model studied in the well-known paper by Kötter and Kschischang [7], where the errors are assumed to be adversarial (so the worst case is studied).

In [13] the authors give upper and lower bounds on the capacity of the AMMC, which are shown to converge in certain interesting limiting cases. The exact capacity of the AMMC for fixed parameter choices is hard to determine due to the many degrees of freedom involved: the naive formula maximises over a probability distribution on the set of possible input matrices, and this set is exponentially large.

In this paper we introduce a generalisation of these matrix channels that allows the modelling of channels were the number of errors is not necessarily fixed. (For example, it enables the modelling of situations when at most t errors are introduced, or when the errors are not necessarily linearly independent, or both.) To define our generalisation, we need the following notation which is due to Nobrega, Silva and Uchoa-Filho [10].

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a probability distribution on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \min\{m, n\}\}$ of possible ranks of matrices $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. We define a distribution on the set $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ of matrices by choosing r according to $\mathcal{R}$, and then once r is fixed choosing a matrix $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}$ uniformly at random. We say that this distribution is *Uniform Given Rank (UGR) with rank distribution* $\mathcal{R}$. We say a distribution on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ is *Uniform Given Rank (UGR)* if it is UGR with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$ for some distribution $\mathcal{R}$.

We write $\mathcal{R}(r)$ for the probability of rank r under the distribution $\mathcal{R}$. So a distribution on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ is UGR with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if each $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ of rank r is chosen with probability $\mathcal{R}(r)/|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}|$.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a probability distribution on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \min\{m, n\}\}$ of possible ranks of matrices $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. The *Generalised Additive Multiplicative MAtrix Channel with rank error distribution* $\mathcal{R}$ *(the Gamma channel* $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$*)* has input set $\mathcal{X}$ and output set $\mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. The channel law is

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{W})$$

where $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ is chosen uniformly, where $\boldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ is UGR with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$, and where $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{W}$ are chosen independently.

We see that the AMMC is the special case of $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$ when $\mathcal{R}$ is the distribution choosing rank t with probability 1. But the model covers several other very natural situations. For example, we may drop the assumption that the t errors are linearly independent by defining $\mathcal{R}(r)$ to be the probability that t vectors span a subspace of dimension r, when the vectors are chosen uniformly and independently. We can also extend this to model situations when the number t of vectors varies according

to natural distributions such as the binomial distribution (which arises when, for example, a packet is corrupted with some fixed non-zero probability). In practice, given a particular network, one may run tests on the network to see the actual error patterns produced and define an empirical distribution on ranks. One could also define an appropriate distribution by considering some combination of the situations described.

Throughout this paper, we assume that q, n, m and $\mathcal{R}$ are fixed by the application. We will refer to these values as the *channel parameters*.

We note that the Gamma channel assumes that the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ is always invertible. This is a realistic assumption in random linear network coding in standard situations: the field size q is normally large, which means linear dependencies between random vectors are less likely.

In both [10] and [12] the authors consider (different) generalisations of the MMC channel that do not necessarily have a square full rank transfer matrix. Such channels allow modelling of network coding when no erroneous packets are injected into the network, but there may be link erasures. In [10], Nobrega, Silva and Uchoa-Filho define the transfer matrix to be picked from a UGR distribution. One result of [10] is that a capacity-achieving input distribution for their class of MMC channels can always be taken to be UGR.

A main result of this paper (Theorem 16) is that a capacity-achieving input distribution for Gamma channels can always be taken to be UGR. Theorem 16 is a significant extension of the result of [10] to a new class of channels; the extension requires new technical ideas.

Corollary 18 to the main result of the paper provides an explicit method for computing the capacity of Gamma channels which maximises over a probability distribution over the set of possible ranks of input matrices, rather than the set of all input matrices itself. Thus we have reduced the problem of computing the capacity of a Gamma channel to an explicit maximisation over a set of variables of linear rather than exponential size.

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. Section 2 proves some preliminary results needed in what follows. In Section 3 we state results from matrix theory that we use. Section 4 establishes a relationship between the distributions of the ranks of input and output matrices for a Gamma channel. Section 5 proves Theorem 16, and Section 6 proves Corollary 18, giving an exact expression for the capacity of the Gamma channels. Finally, in Section 7 we prove the results from matrix theory that we use in earlier sections.

2 Preliminaries on finite-dimensional vector spaces

In this section we discuss finite-dimensional vector spaces and consider several counting problems involving subspaces and quotient spaces.

The following two lemmas are standard results in the theory of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

Lemma 1. *Let V be a vector space of dimension d_V, and let U be a d_U-dimensional subspace of V. The quotient space V/U has dimension $d_V - d_U$.*

Proof. See [5, §22]. □

Lemma 2. *Let V be a vector space and let U be a fixed subspace of V. For any subspace W of V*

$$\dim([W]) = \dim(W) - \dim(W \cap U),$$

where $[W]$ denotes the image of W in the quotient space V/U.

Proof. This is a standard exercise. □

The following lemma gives the number of subspaces U of V, when, given some subspace V_1 of V, the intersection of U and V_1 is fixed and the image of U in the quotient space V/V_1 is fixed.

Lemma 3. *Let V be a d_V-dimensional vector space. Let V_1, V_2 be subspaces of V, of dimensions d_{V_1} and d_{V_2} respectively, such that $V_2 \subseteq V_1$. The number of d_U-dimensional subspaces $U \subseteq V$ such that $U \cap V_1 = V_2$ and the image of U in the quotient space V/V_1 is the fixed $d_U - d_{V_2}$ dimensional space U', is given by*

$$q^{(d_U - d_{V_2})(d_{V_1} - d_{V_2})}.$$

Proof. Fix a basis for V_2, say $\{b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1,d_{V_2}}\}$. Let $\pi : V \to V/V_1$ be the map which takes vectors in V to their image in V/V_1. For $d_{U'} = d_U - d_{V_2}$, let $\{y_1, \ldots y_{d_{U'}}\}$ be a basis for U', and let $\{b_{2,1}, \ldots, b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$ be some vectors in V such that $\pi(b_{2,i}) = y_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, d_{U'}$.

The set $\{b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1,d_{V_2}}, b_{2,1}, \ldots, b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$ is a linearly independent set that forms the basis of a space U of the required form. Moreover, every space U of the required form has a basis that can be constructed in this way. The general construction takes the basis for V_2 and extends this to a basis for a space U by adding any set of $d_{U'}$ vectors in V whose image under π is $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d_{U'}}\}$.

Given the set $\{b_{2,1}, \ldots, b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$, all other sets of $d_{U'}$ vectors in V whose image under π is $\{y_1, \ldots y_{d_{U'}}\}$ can be written in the form $\{v_1 + b_{2,1}, \ldots, v_{d_{U'}} + b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$ for some $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_{U'}} \in V_1$. Therefore, any space U of the required form has a basis that can be written as $B = \{b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1,d_{V_2}}, v_1 + b_{2,1}, \ldots, v_{d_{U'}} + b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$ for some $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_{U'}} \in V_1$.

Given some set $v'_1, \ldots, v'_{d_{U'}} \in V_1$, let $B' = \{b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{1,d_{V_2}}, v'_1 + b_{2,1}, \ldots, v'_{d_{U'}} + b_{2,d_{U'}}\}$. Now $\mathrm{Span}(B) = \mathrm{Span}(B')$ if and only if $v_i - v'_i \in V_2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d_{U'}$. That is, the sets B and B' give rise to the same space if and only if $[v_i] = [v'_i]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d_{U'}$, where $[v]$ denotes the image of a vector v in the quotient space V_1/V_2.

Therefore there is a bijection between spaces U of the required form and ordered sets $\{[v_1], \ldots, [v_{d_{U'}}]\}$ of elements in the quotient space V_1/V_2.

For $i = 1, \ldots d_{U'}$, there are $q^{d_{V_1} - d_{V_2}}$ choices for $[v_i] \in V_1/V_2$, thus there are

$$q^{d_{U'}(d_{V_1} - d_{V_2})} = q^{(d_U - d_{V_2})(d_{V_1} - d_{V_2})}. \tag{1}$$

choices for the ordered set $\{[v_1], \ldots, [v_{d_{U'}}]\}$. The result follows. □

Given a vector space V and a subspace $V_1 \subseteq V$, Lemma 3 can be used to count subspaces U of V when either $U \cap V_1$ is fixed, or the image of U in V/V_1 is fixed, or when only the dimensions of these spaces are fixed. These results are given in the following three corollaries.

Corollary 4. *Let V be a d_V-dimensional vector space. Let V_1, V_2 be subspaces of V, of dimensions d_{V_1} and d_{V_2} respectively, such that $V_2 \subseteq V_1$. The number of d_U-dimensional subspaces $U \subseteq V$ such that $U \cap V_1 = V_2$, is given by*

$$q^{(d_U - d_{V_2})(d_{V_1} - d_{V_2})} \begin{bmatrix} d_V - d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{V_2} \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Proof. Consider the quotient space V/V_1, this is a space of dimension $d_V - d_{V_1}$. Let U' be a $(d_U - d_{V_2})$-dimensional subspace of V/V_1. There are

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_V - d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{V_2} \end{bmatrix}_q$$

possible choices for U', fix one such space.

By Lemma 3 there are $q^{(d_U - d_{V_2})(d_{V_1} - d_{V_2})}$ possibilities for the space U whose image in the quotient V/V_1 is the fixed space U'. Multiplying by the number of possibilities for U', yields the result. □

Corollary 5. *Let V be a d_V-dimensional vector space. Let V_1 be a d_{V_1}-dimensional subspace of V. The number of d_U-dimensional subspaces $U \subseteq V$ such that the image of U in the quotient space V/V_1 is some fixed $d_{U'}$ dimensional space U', is given by*

$$q^{d_{U'}(d_{V_1}-(d_U-d_{U'}))} \begin{bmatrix} d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{U'} \end{bmatrix}_q. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let V_2 be a $(d_U - d_{U'})$-dimensional subspace of V_1, there are

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{U'} \end{bmatrix}_q$$

possible choices for V_2, fix one.

By Lemma 3 there are $q^{(d_U-(d_U-d_{U'}))(d_{V_1}-(d_U-d_{U'}))} = q^{d_{U'}(d_{V_1}-(d_U-d_{U'}))}$ possibilities for the space U whose intersection with V_1 is the fixed space V_2. Multiplying by the number of possibilities for V_2, yields the result. □

Corollary 6. *Let V be a d_V-dimensional vector space. Let V_1 be a d_{V_1}-dimensional subspace of V. For a subspace U of V, let $[U]$ denote the image of U in the quotient space V/V_1. The number of d_U-dimensional subspaces $U \subseteq V$ such that $\dim(U \cap V_1) = d_{UV_1}$ is equal to the number of d_U-dimensional subspaces U such that $\dim([U]) = d_U - d_{UV_1}$. This number is equal to*

$$q^{(d_U-d_{UV_1})(d_{V_1}-d_{UV_1})} \begin{bmatrix} d_V - d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{UV_1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d_{V_1} \\ d_{UV_1} \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Proof. Note that $\dim(U \cap V_1) = d_{UV_1}$ if and only if $\dim([U]) = d_U - d_{UV_1}$ hence the equivalence in the statement of the lemma holds. Let V_2 be a (d_{UV_1})-dimensional subspace of V_1, there are

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_{V_1} \\ d_{UV_1} \end{bmatrix}_q$$

possible choices for V_2, fix one. Next let U' be a $(d_U - d_{UV_1})$-dimensional subspace of V/V_1. There are

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_V - d_{V_1} \\ d_U - d_{UV_1} \end{bmatrix}_q$$

possible choices for U', fix one.

By Lemma 3 there are $q^{(d_U-d_{UV_1})(d_{V_1}-d_{UV_1})}$ possibilities for the space U whose intersection with V_1 is the fixed space V_2, and image in the quotient V/V_1 is the fixed space U'. Multiplying by the number of possibilities for V_2 and U', yields the result. □

3 Matrices over finite fields

This short section describes the notation and results we use from the theory of matrices over finite fields.

Let q be a non-trivial prime power, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of order q. In the introduction we defined the notation $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ for the set of $n \times m$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}$ for the subset of $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ consisting of those matrices of rank r and $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ for the set of invertible matrices in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times n}$.

For a matrix M, we write $\mathrm{rk}(M)$ for the rank of M and we write $\mathrm{Row}(M)$ for the row space of M.

The following results will be proved in Section 7.

Lemma 7. *Let U be a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimension u. The number of matrices $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(M) = U$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m and u. We write $f_0(u)$ for the number of matrices M of this form.*

Lemma 8. *Let U and V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions u and v respectively. Let $h = \dim(U \cap V)$. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ be a fixed matrix such that $\mathrm{Row}(M) = U$. Let r be a non-negative integer. The number of matrices $W \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(W + M) = V$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m, r, u, v and h. We write $f_1(u, v, h; r)$ for the number of matrices W of this form.*

Lemma 9. *Let r, r_W and r_X be non-negative integers. Let X be a fixed matrix such that $\mathrm{rk}(X) = r_X$. The number of matrices $W \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_W}$ such that $\mathrm{rk}(X + W) = r$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m, r, r_W and r_X. We write $f_2(r, r_X, r_W)$ for the number of matrices W of this form.*

By an efficient computation, we mean a polynomial (in $\max\{n, m\}$) number of arithmetic operations. In Section 7 we will prove Lemmas 7, 8 and 9 and give exact expressions for the functions f_0, f_1 and f_2 in terms of their inputs and the values q, n and m.

4 Input and output rank distributions

A distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ on the input set $\mathcal{X}$ of the Gamma channel induces a distribution (the *input rank distribution*) $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ on the set of possible ranks of input matrices. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ be the corresponding *output rank distribution*, induced from the distribution on the output set of the Gamma channel. A key result (Lemma 11) is that $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ depends on only the channel parameters and $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ (rather than on $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ itself). This section aims to prove this result: it will play a vital role in the proof of Theorem 16 below.

Definition 5. Let $r, r_X, r_B \in \{0, \ldots, \min\{n, m\}\}$. Define

$$\rho(r; r_X, r_B) = \frac{f_2(r, r_X, r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|},$$

where f_2 is as defined in Lemma 9. For any fixed matrix $X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_X}$, $\rho(r; r_X, r_B)$ gives the proportion of matrices $B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}$ with $\mathrm{rk}(X + B) = r$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a probability distribution on the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, \min\{n, m\}\}$ of possible ranks of $n \times m$ matrices. Define

$$\rho(r; r_X) = \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B)\rho(r; r_X, r_B),$$

so that $\rho(r; r_X)$ gives the weighted average of this proportion over the possible ranks of matrices B.

Lemma 10. *Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ be an $n\times m$ matrix sampled from some distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ on $\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$. Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be an $n \times m$ matrix sampled from a UGR distribution with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$, where $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are chosen independently. Let $r, r_X, r_B \in \{0, \ldots, \min\{n, m\}\}$. Then*

$$\rho(r; r_X, r_B) = \Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B}) = r \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}) = r_X \text{ and } \mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{B}) = r_B), \tag{3}$$

and

$$\rho(r; r_X) = \Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B}) = r \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}) = r_X). \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let X be a fixed $n \times m$ matrix of rank r_X. Then, since $\boldsymbol{B}$ has a UGR distribution,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(X + \boldsymbol{B}) = r \,|\, \mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{B}) = r_B) \\
&\quad = \frac{|\{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B} : \mathrm{rk}(X + B) = r\}|}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \\
&\quad = \frac{f_2(r, r_X, r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \\
&\quad = \rho(r; r_X, r_B).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Note that (5) only depends on $\mathrm{rk}(X)$, not X itself. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})=r|\,\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X})=r_X,\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{B})=r_B)\\
&=\sum_X \Pr(\boldsymbol{X}=X)\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(X+\boldsymbol{B})=r|\,\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{B})=r_B)\\
&=\sum_X \Pr(\boldsymbol{X}=X)\rho(r;r_X,r_B)\\
&=\rho(r;r_X,r_B),
\end{aligned}$$

where the sums are over matrices $X\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_X}$. Thus (3) holds. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})=r|\,\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X})=r_X)\\
&\quad=\sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}}\mathcal{R}(r_B)\rho(r;r_X,r_B)\ \text{(by (3))}\\
&\quad=\rho(r;r_X).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) holds, and so the lemma follows. □

Lemma 11. *For the Gamma channel $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$ with input rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$, the output rank distribution is given by*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r)=\sum_{r_X,r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X)\mathcal{R}(r_B)\frac{f_2(r,r_X,r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}$$

for $r=1,\ldots,\min\{n,m\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ depends only on the input rank distribution (and the channel parameters), not on the input distribution itself.

Proof. We have that $\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{X})=r_X)=\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X)$ and $\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{B})=r_B)=\mathcal{R}(r_B)$. Hence, by (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r)&=\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y})=r)\\
&=\sum_{r_X,r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X)\mathcal{R}(r_B)\rho\left(r_Y;r_X,r_B\right)\\
&=\sum_{r_X,r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X)\mathcal{R}(r_B)\frac{f_2(r,r_X,r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5 A UGR input distribution achieves capacity

This section shows (Theorem 16) that there exists a UGR input distribution to the Gamma channel that achieves capacity.

Lemma 12. *Let M and M' be fixed $n\times m$ matrices of the same rank. Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be an $n\times m$ matrix picked from a UGR distribution, and let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be an $n\times n$ matrix picked uniformly from $\mathrm{GL}(n,q)$, with $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}$ picked independently. Let $\boldsymbol{Y}=\boldsymbol{A}(M+\boldsymbol{B})$ and let $\boldsymbol{Y'}=\boldsymbol{A}(M'+\boldsymbol{B})$. Then*

$$H(\boldsymbol{Y})=H(\boldsymbol{Y'}).$$

Proof. Let A be a fixed $n\times n$ invertible matrix. Since the matrices AM and AM' have the same rank, there exist invertible matrices R and C such that $AM'=RAMC$. Consider the linear transformation $\varphi:\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}\to\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ defined by $\varphi(\boldsymbol{Y})=R\boldsymbol{Y}C$. It is simple to check that φ is well defined and a bijection. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(A(M+\boldsymbol{B}))&=RAMC+RA\boldsymbol{B}C\\
&=A(M'+A^{-1}RA\boldsymbol{B}C).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{B}$ is picked uniformly once its rank is determined, pre- and post-multiplying $\boldsymbol{B}$ by fixed invertible matrices gives a uniform matrix of the same rank, therefore $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $A^{-1}RA\boldsymbol{B}C$ have the same distribution. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\left(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y | \boldsymbol{A} = A\right) \\
&\quad = \Pr\left(A(M + \boldsymbol{B}) = Y\right) \\
&\quad = \Pr\left(\varphi(A(M + \boldsymbol{B})) = \varphi(Y)\right) \\
&\quad = \Pr\left(A(M' + A^{-1}RA\boldsymbol{B}C) = \varphi(Y)\right) \\
&\quad = \Pr\left(A(M' + \boldsymbol{B}) = \varphi(Y)\right) \qquad (6) \\
&\quad = \Pr\left(\boldsymbol{Y'} = \varphi(Y) | \boldsymbol{A} = A\right), \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where (6) holds since the distributions of $\boldsymbol{B}$ and $A^{-1}RA\boldsymbol{B}C$ are the same. Since (7) is true for any fixed matrix A, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y) &= \sum_{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,q)} \Pr(\boldsymbol{A} = A) \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y | \boldsymbol{A} = A) \\
&= \sum_{A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,q)} \Pr(\boldsymbol{A} = A) \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y'} = \varphi(Y) | \boldsymbol{A} = A) \\
&= \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y'} = \varphi(Y)). \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{Y}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y'}$ have the same distribution, up to relabeling by φ. In particular, we find that $H(\boldsymbol{Y}) = H(\boldsymbol{Y'})$. □

Definition 6. Let M be any $n \times m$ matrix of rank r. Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be an $n \times n$ invertible matrix chosen uniformly from $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$. Let $\boldsymbol{B}$ be an $n \times m$ matrix chosen from a UGR distribution with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$, where $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are picked independently. We define

$$h_r = H\left(\boldsymbol{A}(M + \boldsymbol{B})\right).$$

Lemma 12 implies that the value h_r does not depend on M, only on the rank r and the channel parameters q, n, m and $\mathcal{R}$. The exact value of h_r will be calculated later, in Theorem 17.

Lemma 13. *Consider the Gamma channel $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$ with input distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$. Let the input matrix $\boldsymbol{X}$ be sampled from $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$, and let $\boldsymbol{Y}$ be the corresponding output matrix. Then*

$$H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r) h_r.$$

In particular, $H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X})$ depends only on the associated input rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ and the channel parameters.

Proof. Choosing $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ as in the definition of the Gamma channel, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}) &= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} P(\boldsymbol{X} = X) H(\boldsymbol{A}(X + \boldsymbol{B})) \\
&= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X}} P(\boldsymbol{X} = X) h_{\mathrm{rk}(X)} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r) h_r,
\end{aligned}$$

which establishes the first assertion of the lemma. The second assertion follows since h_r depends only on r and the channel parameters. □

Lemma 14. *Let $\boldsymbol{Y_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ be two random $n \times m$ matrices, sampled from distributions with the same associated rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$. If the distribution of $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ is UGR then $H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) \geq H(\boldsymbol{Y_1})$.*

Proof. For $i = 1, 2$, we see that $\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_i})$ is fully determined by $\boldsymbol{Y_i}$ and so it follows that $H(\boldsymbol{Y_i}, \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_i})) = H(\boldsymbol{Y_i})$. Therefore by the chain rule for entropy (e.g. [4, Thm. 2.2.1]),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y_i}) &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_i}, \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_i})) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_i} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_i})) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_i})). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ is distributed uniformly once its rank is determined, $H(\boldsymbol{Y_2} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) = r)$ is maximal (e.g. [4, Thm. 2.6.4]), hence

$$H(\boldsymbol{Y_2} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) = r) \geq H(\boldsymbol{Y_1} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_1}) = r). \tag{10}$$

Thus, using (9)

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_2} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2})) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2})) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} (\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r) H(\boldsymbol{Y_2} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) = r)) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2})) \\ &\geq \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} (\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r) H(\boldsymbol{Y_1} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_1}) = r)) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2})) \qquad (11) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_1} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_1})) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_2})) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_1} | \operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_1})) + H(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y_1})) \qquad (12) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}), \end{aligned}$$

where (11) follows from (10), and (12) follows since the rank distributions of $\boldsymbol{Y_1}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ are the same. □

Lemma 15. *Consider the Gamma channel $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$. If the input distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ is UGR then the induced output distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ is also UGR.*

Proof. Suppose the input distribution is UGR, with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$. We start by showing that the distribution of $\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B}$ is UGR. Let D be any $n \times m$ matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B} = D) \\ &= \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}} \Pr(\boldsymbol{X} = X) \Pr(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B} = D | \boldsymbol{X} = X) \\ &= \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\operatorname{rk}(X))}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, \operatorname{rk}(X)}|} \Pr(X + \boldsymbol{B} = D), \end{aligned}$$

since $\boldsymbol{X}$ is sampled from a UGR distribution. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{B} = D) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r}|} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r}} \Pr(\boldsymbol{B} = D - X) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r}|} \sum_{X \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r}} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\operatorname{rk}(D - X))}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, \operatorname{rk}(D-X)}|}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ are independent, and since $\boldsymbol{B}$ has a UGR distribution with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{X\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}} \frac{\mathcal{R}(\mathrm{rk}(D-X))}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,\mathrm{rk}(D-X)}|} \\
&= \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} |\{X\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r} : \mathrm{rk}(D-X)=r_B\}| \frac{\mathcal{R}(r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \\
&= \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} f_2(r_B,\mathrm{rk}(D),r)\frac{\mathcal{R}(r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}=D) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \frac{\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}|} \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} f_2(r_B,\mathrm{rk}(D),r)\frac{\mathcal{R}(r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}=D)$ does not depend on the specific matrix D, only its rank. Therefore, given any two $n\times m$ matrices D_1, D_2 of the same rank,

$$\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}=D_1)=\Pr(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}=D_2).$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}$ has a UGR distribution.

Let A be a fixed $n\times n$ invertible matrix. Since $\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}$ is picked uniformly once its rank is determined, multiplying $\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}$ by the invertible matrix A will give a uniform matrix of the same rank, therefore $A(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})$ has a UGR distribution. So, defining $\boldsymbol{Y}=\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})$ to be the output of the Gamma channel, we see that for any matrix Y

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\boldsymbol{Y}=Y|\boldsymbol{A}=A) &= \Pr(A(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})=Y) \\
&= \frac{\Pr\left(\mathrm{rk}(A(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B}))=\mathrm{rk}(Y)\right)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,\mathrm{rk}(Y)}|} \\
&= \frac{\Pr\left(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y})=\mathrm{rk}(Y)|\boldsymbol{A}=A\right)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,\mathrm{rk}(Y)}|},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows since $A(\boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{B})$ has a UGR distribution. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\boldsymbol{Y}=Y) &= \sum_{A\in\mathrm{GL}(n,q)} \Pr(\boldsymbol{A}=A)\Pr(\boldsymbol{Y}=Y|\boldsymbol{A}=A) \\
&= \sum_{A\in\mathrm{GL}(n,q)} \Pr(\boldsymbol{A}=A)\frac{\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y})=\mathrm{rk}(Y)|\boldsymbol{A}=A)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,\mathrm{rk}(Y)}|} \\
&= \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,\mathrm{rk}(Y)}|}\Pr(\mathrm{rk}(\boldsymbol{Y})=\mathrm{rk}(Y)). \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Since (13) holds for all $Y\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times n}$ it follows that $\boldsymbol{Y}$ has a UGR distribution. □

Theorem 16. *For the Gamma channel $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$, there exists a UGR input distribution that achieves channel capacity. Moreover, given any input distribution $P_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ with associated rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$, if $P_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ achieves capacity then the UGR distribution with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ achieves capacity.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{X_1}$ be a channel input, with output $\boldsymbol{Y_1}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X_1}}$ is a capacity achieving input distribution. That is $\max_{\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}}\{I(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{Y})\}=I(\boldsymbol{X_1},\boldsymbol{Y_1})$. Then define

the input $\boldsymbol{X_2}$ with output $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ to be distributed such that $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X_2}}$ is the UGR distribution with $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X_2}} = \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X_1}}$. To prove the theorem it suffices to show $I(\boldsymbol{X_2}, \boldsymbol{Y_2}) \geq I(\boldsymbol{X_1}, \boldsymbol{Y_1})$.

By Lemma 11, $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y_2}} = \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y_1}}$ and by Lemma 15, $\boldsymbol{Y_2}$ has a UGR distribution. Therefore, by Lemma 14

$$H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) \geq H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}). \tag{14}$$

Also, since $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X_2}} = \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X_1}}$, Lemma 13 implies that

$$H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}|\boldsymbol{X_2}) = H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}|\boldsymbol{X_1}). \tag{15}$$

Using (14) and (15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\boldsymbol{X_2}, \boldsymbol{Y_2}) &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}) - H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}|\boldsymbol{X_2}) \\ &\geq H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}) - H(\boldsymbol{Y_2}|\boldsymbol{X_2}) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}) - H(\boldsymbol{Y_1}|\boldsymbol{X_1}) \\ &= I(\boldsymbol{X_1}, \boldsymbol{Y_1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

6 Optimal input distributions and channel capacity

Theorem 16 reduces the problem of computing the Gamma channel capacity to a maximisation over a set of variables of linear rather than exponential size, since the UGR distribution is determined by the distribution $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ on a set of size $\min\{n, m\}+1$. In this section we give an expression for this maximisation problem in terms of the channel parameters and the efficiently computable functions f_0, f_1 and f_2 defined in Section 3. This expression provides a means for efficiently computing the exact channel capacity, and determining an optimal input rank distribution.

We begin by computing the value of h_r, as defined in Definition 6. This is needed in the proof of the expression given for the maximisation problem in Corollary 18.

Theorem 17. *The value h_r, as defined in Definition 6, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} h_r = &\sum_{v=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \sum_{h=0}^{\min\{r,v\}} q^{(v-r+h)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ v-r+h \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q \cdot \\ &\cdot \left(\sum_{r_B=h}^{\min\{n,m,v+h\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,v,h;r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m, r_B}|} \right) \log \left(\frac{f_0(v)}{\sum_{r_B=h}^{\min\{n,m,v+h\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,v,h;r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m, r_B}|}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

where f_0 is as defined in Lemma 7 and f_1 is as defined in Lemma 8.

Proof. Let M be a fixed $n \times m$ matrix of rank r. Let $\boldsymbol{Y} = \boldsymbol{A}(M + \boldsymbol{B})$, where $\boldsymbol{A}$ is picked uniformly from $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ has a UGR distribution with rank distribution $\mathcal{R}$. Then

$$h_r = H(\boldsymbol{A}(M + \boldsymbol{B})|\,\mathrm{rk}(M) = r) = H(\boldsymbol{Y}).$$

Since $\mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})$ is fully determined by $\boldsymbol{Y}$, it follows that $H(\boldsymbol{Y}, \mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) = H(\boldsymbol{Y})$. Therefore, using the chain rule for entropy (e.g. [4, Thm. 2.2.1]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y}) &= H(\boldsymbol{Y}, \mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\,\mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) + H(\mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Now, multiplying $(M + \boldsymbol{B})$ by a uniformly picked invertible matrix will result in a uniform matrix of the same rowspace as $(M + \boldsymbol{B})$. That is, the distribution of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is uniform given the rowspace of $\boldsymbol{Y}$. Thus ([4, Thm. 2.6.4])

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\,\mathrm{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y}) = V) &= \log\left(|\{Y' : Y' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}, \mathrm{Row}(Y') = V\}|\right) \\ &= \log\left(f_0(\dim(V))\right), \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where f_0 is as defined in Lemma 7. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) &= \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \\ &= \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \log\left(f_0(\dim(V))\right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h_r &= H(\boldsymbol{Y}) \\ &= H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) + H(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})) \\ &= \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \log\left(f_0(\dim(V))\right) \\ &\qquad - \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \log\left(\Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V)\right) \\ &= \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \log\left(\frac{f_0(\dim(V))}{\Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now, we calculate the probability of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ having a particular rowspace V. For $V\in\mathbb{F}_q^m$, let $d_{V'} = \dim((\operatorname{Row}(M)+V)/V)$. Using the function f_1, defined in Lemma 8, we obtain the following result.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(\operatorname{Row}(\boldsymbol{Y})=V) \\ &\quad= \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(M+\boldsymbol{B})=V) \qquad (20) \\ &\quad= \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \Pr(\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{B})=r_B) \Pr(\operatorname{Row}(M+\boldsymbol{B})=V|\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{B})=r_B) \\ &\quad= \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{|\{B : \operatorname{rk}(B)=r_B, \operatorname{Row}(M+B)=V\}|}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \qquad (21) \\ &\quad= \sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,\dim(V),d_{V'};r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \\ &\quad= \sum_{r_B=d_{V'}}^{\min\{n,m,\dim(V)+d_{V'}\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,\dim(V),d_{V'};r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}, \qquad (22) \end{aligned}$$

where (21) follows since $\boldsymbol{B}$ has a UGR distribution and (22) follows since

$$f_1(r,\dim(V),d_{V'};r_B) = 0$$

for $r_B < d_{V'}$ and $r_B > \dim(V)+d_{V'}$.

Substituting (22) into (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_r = \sum_{V\subseteq\mathbb{F}_q^m} &\left(\sum_{r_B=d_{V'}}^{\min\{n,m,\dim(V)+d_{V'}\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,\dim(V),d_{V'};r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|} \right) \cdot \\ &\cdot \log\left(\frac{f_0(\dim(V))}{\sum_{r_B=d_{V'}}^{\min\{n,m,\dim(V)+d_{V'}\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,\dim(V),d_{V'};r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}|}} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In (23), for a given subspace $V\in\mathbb{F}_q^m$, the corresponding term in the sum depends only on $\dim(V)$ and the value $d_{V'} = \dim(\operatorname{Row}(M)+V)/V)$. Therefore, we will count

the number of spaces V with $\dim(V) = v$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Row}(M) + V)/V) = h$ for some v and h. We can then replace the sum over all V by a sum over the values v and h.

Since $f_1(r, \dim(V), h; r_B) = 0$ if $\dim(V) > n$ we can restrict our attention to subspaces V of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $\dim(V) \leq n$. Given some integers v, h with $0 \leq v \leq \min\{n, m\}$ and $0 \leq h \leq \min\{r, v\}$, by Corollary 6 the number of v-dimensional subspaces $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that $\dim((\mathrm{Row}(M) + V)/V) = h$ is

$$q^{(v-(r-h))(r-(r-h))} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ v-(r-h) \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{(v-r+h)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ v-r+h \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q. \quad (24)$$

Substituting (24) into (23) gives the result. □

Now we give the result of this section: an efficiently computable expression for the Gamma channel capacity as a maximisation over the set of possible input rank distributions.

Corollary 18. *The capacity of the Gamma channel $\Gamma(\mathcal{R})$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} C = \max_{\mathcal{R}_X} \Bigg\{ & - \Bigg(\sum_{r_Y=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \Bigg(\sum_{r_X, r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X) \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_2(r_Y, r_X, r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_B}|} \Bigg) \\ & \log \Bigg(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_Y}|} \sum_{r_X, r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X) \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_2(r_Y, r_X, r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_B}|} \Bigg) \Bigg) \\ & - \Bigg(\sum_{r=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r) \sum_{u=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \sum_{h=0}^{\min\{r,u\}} \Bigg(q^{(v-r+h)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-r \\ v-r+h \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q \\ & \Bigg(\sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r, u, h; r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_B}|} \Bigg) \log \Bigg(\frac{f_0(u)}{\sum_{r_B=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}(r_B) \frac{f_1(r,u,h;r_B)}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_B}|}} \Bigg) \Bigg) \Bigg) \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

where f_0, f_1 and f_2 are as defined in Lemmas 7, 8 and 9, respectively.

Proof. The capacity of the channel is defined to be $C = \max_{\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}} \{I(\boldsymbol{X}; \boldsymbol{Y})\}$. By Theorem 16, to achieve capacity we can chose the input distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ to be UGR. By Lemma 15, the output distribution will also be UGR. Therefore the output distribution is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(Y) = \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, \mathrm{rk}(Y)}|} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\mathrm{rk}(Y)) \quad (25)$$

for any $Y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$. Thus the entropy of $\boldsymbol{Y}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H(\boldsymbol{Y}) &= - \sum_{Y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}} \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y) \log \Pr(\boldsymbol{Y} = Y) \\ &= - \sum_{Y \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, \mathrm{rk}(Y)}|} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\mathrm{rk}(Y)) \right) \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, \mathrm{rk}(Y)}|} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(\mathrm{rk}(Y)) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{r_Y=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r_Y) \log \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m, r_Y}|} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r_Y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Therefore, using (26) and Lemma 13,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\boldsymbol{X};\boldsymbol{Y}) &= H(\boldsymbol{Y}) - H(\boldsymbol{Y}|\boldsymbol{X}) \\ &= -\sum_{r_Y=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r_Y) \log\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m, r_Y}|}\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}(r_Y)\right) - \sum_{r_X=0}^{\min\{n,m\}} \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{X}}(r_X) h_{r_X}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expressions for $\mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}$ and h_r given in Lemma 11 and Theorem 17 respectively, and taking the maximum over all possible input rank distributions yields the result. □

7 Matrix function proofs

The aim of this section is to prove Lemmas 7, 8 and 9, showing that the functions f_0, f_1, f_2 are well defined (i.e. they depend only on their inputs and the parameters n, m, q) and to express them in terms of these parameters, showing that they can be efficiently computed.

We approach this problem by first exploring several combinatorial results needed to determine the values of f_0, f_1, f_2. In Subsection 7.1 we determine some results using Möbius inversion on posets of subspaces. In Subsection 7.2, for fixed subspaces $U, V \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, we count the number of subspaces $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that the dimension of W, $W \cap U$, $W \cap V$ and $W \cap U \cap V$ are all fixed. In Subsection 7.3, for fixed subspaces $U, V, W \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $W + V = U + V$, we count the number of pairs (V', W') such that $V' \subseteq V$, $W' \subseteq W$, $U + V' = W' + V'$ and the dimensions of V', W' and $V' \cap W'$ are fixed. Finally in Subsection 7.4 we calculate the values of the functions f_0, f_1, f_2.

7.1 Möbius theory

Let $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ denote the poset of all subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ ordered by containment. The aim of this subsection is to give the Möbius inversion formula for $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ and for the direct product $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$.

It is shown in [2, §5] that the Möbius function of $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ is given by

$$\mu(V, U) = (-1)^{(\dim(U)-\dim(V))} q^{\binom{\dim(U)-\dim(V)}{2}}. \tag{27}$$

for $U, V \in \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ with $V \subseteq U$.

Lemma 19. *Let $f(U)$ be a real valued function defined for all subspaces U of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$. If*

$$g(U) = \sum_{V \subseteq U} f(V)$$

then

$$f(U) = \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{(\dim(U)-\dim(V))} q^{\binom{\dim(U)-\dim(V)}{2}} g(V).$$

Proof. Applying the Möbius inversion formula (e.g. [2, Theorem 1]) and substituting in (27) gives the result. □

Let P and Q be two posets. The direct product $P \times Q$ is the poset where $(p_1, q_1) \leq (p_2, q_2)$ if and only if $p_1 \leq p_2$ and $q_1 \leq q_2$, where $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $q_1, q_2 \in Q$.

Lemma 20. *If P has Möbius function μ_P and Q has Möbius function μ_Q, the Möbius function of $P \times Q$ is given by*

$$\mu((p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2)) = \mu_P(p_1, p_2)\mu_Q(q_1, q_2). \tag{28}$$

Proof. See [2, Theorem 3]. □

Applying the Möbius inversion formula to the direct product $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ gives the following result.

Lemma 21. *Let $f((U,V))$ be a real valued function defined for all pairs $(U,V) \in Po(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times Po(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$. If*

$$g((U,V)) = \sum_{(U',V') \leq (U,V)} f((U',V'))$$

then

$$f((U,V)) = \sum_{(U',V') \leq (U,V)} (-1)^{(u-u'+v-v')} q^{\left(\binom{u-u'}{2}+\binom{v-v'}{2}\right)} g((U',V')),$$

where $\dim(U) = u, \dim(U') = u', \dim(V) = v$ *and* $\dim(V') = v'$.

Proof. By the Möbius inversion formula (e.g. [2, Theorem 1])

$$f((U,V)) = \sum_{(U',V') \leq (U,V)} \mu((U',V'),(U,V)) g((U',V')). \tag{29}$$

Substituting (27) into (28) gives

$$\mu((U',V'),(U,V)) = (-1)^{(u-u'+v-v')} q^{\left(\binom{u-u'}{2}+\binom{v-v'}{2}\right)}. \tag{30}$$

Substituting (30) into (29) gives the result. □

7.2 Counting subspaces

Let U and V be fixed subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$. The aim of this section is to count the number of subspaces $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that the dimension of W, $W \cap U$, $W \cap V$ and $W \cap U \cap V$ are all fixed. This count will be used in the proof of a later result.

In order to count such spaces W, we begin in Lemma 22, by focusing on the special case when $W \subseteq U \oplus V$ and W intersects both U and V trivially. Then in Lemma 23 we relax the condition on the intersection of W with U and V. In Lemma 24 we consider the case when $W \subseteq U + V$ and finally in Lemma 25 we consider the general case of the counting problem.

Lemma 22. *Let U, V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions d_U and d_V respectively. The number of d_W-dimensional spaces W such that $W \subseteq U \oplus V$ with $W \cap U = W \cap V = \{0\}$ is*

$$f(d_U, d_V, d_W) = \begin{bmatrix} d_U \\ d_W \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{d_W - 1} (q^{d_V} - q^i)$$

when $0 \leq d_W \leq \min\{d_U, d_V\}$, and $f(d_U, d_V, d_W) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Consider the natural map $\varphi_V : U \oplus V \to V$, note $\ker(\varphi_V) = U$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\varphi_V(W)) &= \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W) \\ &= d_W. \end{aligned}$$

Then since $\dim(\varphi_V(U \oplus V)) = d_V$ we have that $d_W \leq d_V$ and a similar argument shows $d_W \leq d_U$. Thus $0 \leq d_W \leq \min\{d_U, d_V\}$, as required.

Now assume that $0 \leq d_W \leq \min\{d_U, d_V\}$. There are $\begin{bmatrix} d_U \\ d_W \end{bmatrix}_q$ d_W-dimensional subspaces X of U. We fix one such space X and count the number of spaces W whose image in the natural map $\varphi_U : U \oplus V \to U$, is X.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{d_W}\}$ be a basis for X. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_{d_W} \in U \oplus V$ be such that $\varphi_U(w_i) = x_i$. Then the vectors $w_1, \ldots, w_{d_W}$ form a basis for some space W of the required form.

Define $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_W} \in V$ by $v_i = w_i - \varphi_U(w_i) = w_i - x_i$. We have $\varphi_V(W) = \mathrm{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_{d_W}\}$, so the vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_W}$ form a basis of a d_W-dimensional subspace of V. Moreover, different choices for the vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_W}$ will lead to different subspaces W. The number of possible choices for the vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_{d_W}$ is $\prod_{i=0}^{d_W-1}(q^{d_V} - q^i)$, since they must span a space of dimension d_W. Therefore, there are $\prod_{i=0}^{d_W-1}(q^{d_V} - q^i)$ spaces W of the required form with $\varphi_U(W) = X$, multiplying this by the number of choices for X gives the total number of spaces W to be as claimed. □

Lemma 23. *Let U, V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions d_U and d_V respectively. The number of d_W-dimensional spaces W such that $W \subseteq U \oplus V$ with $\dim(W \cap U) = d_{UW}$ and $\dim(W \cap V) = d_{VW}$ is*

$$g(d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UW}, d_{VW}) = \begin{bmatrix} d_U \\ d_{UW} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d_V \\ d_{VW} \end{bmatrix}_q f(d_u - d_{UW}, d_V - d_{VW}, d_W - d_{UW} - d_{VW})$$

when

$$d_{UW} \leq \min\{d_U, d_W\}, \quad d_{VW} \leq \min\{d_V, d_W\} \tag{31}$$

and

$$d_W - d_{UW} - d_{VW} \leq \min\{du - d_{UW}, d_V - d_{VW}\}, \tag{32}$$

and $g(d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UW}, d_{VW}) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. The inequalities in (31) hold since the dimension of the intersection of two spaces is bounded above by the dimension of those spaces.

For a given space W of the required form, consider the space $U \oplus V$ quotiented out by $(U \cap W) \oplus (V \cap W)$. For a subspace X of $U \oplus V$, let X' denote the image of this space in the quotient. Then W' is a subspace of $U' \oplus V'$ that intersects both U' and V' trivially. We have $\dim(U') = d_U - d_{UW}$, $\dim(V') = d_V - d_{VW}$ and $\dim(W') = d_W - d_{UW} - d_{VW}$. Therefore the inequality in (32) follows from Lemma 22.

To count the number of possible spaces W when (31) and (32) hold, first note that there are $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} d_U \\ d_{UW} \end{smallmatrix}\right]_q$ choices for $U \cap W$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} d_V \\ d_{VW} \end{smallmatrix}\right]_q$ choices for $V \cap W$. Once these spaces are fixed, there are $f(d_u - d_{UW}, d_V - d_{VW}, d_W - d_{UW} - d_{VW})$ possible choices for W'.

Finally, since W' is a quotient of W by $(U \cap W) \oplus (V \cap W) \subseteq W$, it follows that W is uniquely determined by W', $U \cap W$ and $V \cap W$, hence the lemma holds. □

Lemma 24. *Let U, V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions d_U and d_V respectively such that $\dim(U \cap V) = d_{UV}$. The number of d_W-dimensional spaces W such that $W \subseteq U + V$ with $\dim(W \cap U) = d_{UW}$, $\dim(W \cap V) = d_{VW}$ and $\dim(U \cap V \cap W) = d_{UVW}$ is*

$$h(d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}) = g(d_U - d_{UV}, d_V - d_{UV}, d_W - d_{UVW}, d_{UW} - d_{UVW}, d_{VW} - d_{UVW}) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d_{UV} \\ d_{UVW} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(d_W - d_{UVW})(d_{UV} - d_{UVW})}.$$

Proof. Let $X = U \cap V$. Consider the quotient $\mathbb{F}_q^m / X$, and let S' denote the image of a space S in the quotient. Then $(U + V)/X = U' \oplus V'$ where $\dim(U') = d_U - d_{UV}$, $\dim(V') = d_V - d_{UV}$ and given any space W of the required form, $(W + X)/X = W'$ where $\dim(W') = d_W - d_{UVW}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U' \cap W') &= \dim(((U + X)/X) \cap ((W + X)/W)) \\ &= \dim(((U \cap W) + X)/X) = d_{UW} - d_{UVW} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\dim(V' \cap W') = d_{VW} - d_{UVW}$.

Hence, the number of possibilities for the image W' of W in the quotient by X is $g(d_U - d_{UV}, d_V - d_{UV}, d_W - d_{UVW}, d_{UW} - d_{UVW}, d_{VW} - d_{UVW})$.

There are $\begin{bmatrix} d_{UV} \\ d_{UVW} \end{bmatrix}_q$ possibilities for the space $U \cap V \cap W = X \cap W$.

There are $q^{(d_W - d_{UVW})(d_{UV} - d_{UVW})}$ possibilities for W once the spaces W' and $X \cap W$ are fixed, by Lemma 3. Multiplying this by the number of choices for W' and $X \cap W$ gives the statement of the lemma. □

Lemma 25. *Let U, V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions d_U and d_V respectively such that $\dim(U \cap V) = d_{UV}$. The number of d_W-dimensional subspaces $W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $\dim(W \cap U) = d_{UW}$, $\dim(W \cap V) = d_{VW}$ and $\dim(U \cap V \cap W) = d_{UVW}$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} &l(m, d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}) \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=\max\{d_{UW}, d_{VW}\}}^{\min\{d_{UW}+d_{VW}-d_{UVW}, d_W\}} h(d_U, d_V, k, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}) \cdot \\ &\qquad \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m - (d_U + d_V - d_{UV}) \\ d_W - k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(d_W - k)(d_U + d_V - d_{UV} - k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a space W of the required form, let $W' = (U+V) \cap W$. Let $k = \dim(W')$, then $k \geq d_{UW}$ since $\dim(W' \cap U) = \dim(W \cap U) = d_{UW}$. Similarly $k \geq d_{VW}$, hence $k \geq \max\{d_{UW}, d_{VW}\}$.

Clearly $k \leq \dim(W) = d_W$. Let $\varphi : U + V \to (U + V)/V \oplus (V + U)/U$ be the natural map. Note, $\ker(\varphi) = U \cap V$. Now $\varphi(W') \subseteq ((U \cap W) + V)/V \oplus ((V \cap W) + U)/U$, and so $\dim(\varphi(W')) \leq (d_{UW} - d_{UVW}) + (d_{VW} - d_{UVW})$. Moreover $\dim(W' \cap \ker(\varphi)) = \dim(W' \cap U \cap V) = d_{UVW}$. Hence $k = \dim(W') \leq \dim(\varphi(W')) + \dim(W' \cap \ker(\varphi)) \leq d_{UW} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW}$.

For k such that $\max\{d_{UW}, d_{VW}\} \leq k \leq \min\{d_{UW} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW}, d_W\}$ we will count spaces W with $\dim(W') = \dim((U + V) \cap W) = k$.

Since $W' \subseteq U+V$ and $\dim(U \cap W') = d_{UW}$, $\dim(V \cap W') = d_{VW}$ and $\dim(U \cap V \cap W') = d_{UVW}$, the number of choices for W' is $h(d_U, d_V, k, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW})$, by Lemma 24.

Consider the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m/(U + V)$, this has dimension $m - (d_U + d_V - d_{UV})$. The image of W in the quotient has dimension $d_W - k$, hence there are $\begin{bmatrix} m-(d_U+d_V-d_{UV}) \\ d_W-k \end{bmatrix}_q$ choices for the image.

By Lemma 3, once this image and W' are fixed, there are $q^{(d_W-k)(d_U+d_V-d_{UV}-k)}$ choices for W. Summing over k, the lemma follows. □

7.3 Counting pairs of subspaces

Let $U, V, W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that $W + V = U + V$. The aim of this section is to count the number of pairs (V', W') such that $V' \subseteq V$, $W' \subseteq W$, $U + V' = W' + V'$ and the dimensions of V', W' and $V' \cap W'$ are fixed. This will be used in the proof of a later result.

We will show that this count depends only on the dimensions of V, U, W their pairwise intersections and $U \cap V \cap W$, thus we define a function

$$c'(d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}; d_{V'}, d_{W'}, d_{V'W'})$$

which, given any spaces U, V, W of dimension d_U, d_V, d_W respectively with $U + V = W + V$ and $\dim(U \cap V) = d_{UV}$, $\dim(U \cap W) = d_{UW}$, $\dim(V \cap U) = d_{VW}$, $\dim(U \cap V \cap W) = d_{UVW}$, outputs the number of pairs (V', W') where $\dim(V') = d_{V'}$, $\dim(W') = d_{W'}$ and $\dim(V' \cap W') = d_{V'W'}$. The remainder of this section works to determine the value of the function c'. We begin by discussing some basic properties the dimensions of subspaces and their intersections must satisfy and define a function $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ which

calculates the number of pairs (V', W') when all the various dimensions of intersection with U, V and W are fixed and given in $\underline{d_1}$ and $\underline{d_2}$. Lemma 26 calculates the value of $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ in the special case when $V' = \emptyset$, then Lemma 27 calculates $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ for the special case when V' and W' intersect trivially. Lemma 28 calculates $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ in the general case and finally Lemma 29 uses the function $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ to calculate the value of c'.

Throughout this subsection there will be many dimensions to consider. Therefore, for simplicity it will be understood that the notation d_V denotes the dimension of a space V , d_{UV} denotes $\dim(U \cap V)$ and d_{UVW} denotes $\dim(U \cap V \cap W)$.

Let $U, V, W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that $W + V = U + V$. The aim of this subsection is to define a function that calculates the number of pairs (V', W') when all the various dimensions of intersection with U, V and W are fixed. We begin by discussing some basic properties the dimensions of these subspaces and their intersections must satisfy.

Let

$$\underline{d_1} = (d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW})$$

and

$$\underline{d_2} = (d_{V'}, d_{W'}, d_{UV'}, d_{UW'}, d_{VW'}, d_{WV'}, d_{V'W'}, d_{UVW'}, d_{UWV'}, d_{UV'W'}) \tag{33}$$

be vectors whose entries are positive integers corresponding to the dimensions of the spaces U, V, W, V', W' as shown. Note that in this section we think of the subspaces U, V and W as fixed, and the subspaces V' and W' as varying, so that $\underline{d_1}$ is fixed, and the vector $\underline{d_2}$ varies according to the choices of V' and W'.

Given any vector $\underline{d_1}$ of this form, since its entries correspond to dimensions of subspaces and the dimension of a subspace is bounded above by the dimension of a space, these entries must satisfy the following *basic dimension properties (1)* (BDP1):

- $d_U, d_V, d_W \leq m$
- $d_{UV} \leq d_U, d_V, \quad d_{UW} \leq d_U, d_W, \quad d_{VW} \leq d_V, d_W$
- $d_{UVW} \leq d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}$
- $d_U + d_V - d_{UV} \leq m, \quad d_U + d_W - d_{UW} \leq m, \quad d_V + d_W - d_{VW} \leq m$
- $d_{UV} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW} \leq m, \quad d_{UW} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW} \leq m,$
  $d_{UV} + d_{UW} - d_{UVW} \leq m$
- $d_U + d_V + d_W - d_{UV} - d_{UW} - d_{VW} + d_{UVW} \leq m$

Given a vector $\underline{d_1}$ satisfying BDP1, let V, U, W be any fixed spaces whose dimensions correspond to those in $\underline{d_1}$ and such that $W + V = U + V$. Then for any vector $\underline{d_2}$, since the entries in $\underline{d_2}$ are dimensions related to those in $\underline{d_1}$ and the dimension of a subspace is bounded above by the dimension of a space, these entries must satisfy the following *basic dimension properties (2)* (BDP2):

- $d_{V'} \leq d_V, \quad d_{W'} \leq d_W$
- $d_{UV'} \leq d_{UV}, d_{V'}, \quad d_{UW'} \leq d_{UW}, d_{W'}$
- $d_{VW'} \leq d_{VW}, d_{W'} \quad d_{WV'} \leq d_{VW}, d_{V'}$
- $d_{V'W'} \leq d_{VW'}, d_{WV'}$
- $d_{UVW'} \leq d_{UVW}, d_{UW'}, d_{VW'}, \quad d_{UWV'} \leq d_{UVW}, d_{UV'}, d_{WV'}$
- $d_{UV'W'} \leq d_{UVW'}, d_{UWV'}, d_{V'W'}$
- $d_U + d_{V'} - d_{UV'} \leq d_U + d_V - d_{UV}, \quad d_U + d_{W'} - d_{UW'} \leq d_U + d_W - d_{UW}$
- $d_{V'} + d_{W'} - d_{V'W'} \leq d_V + d_{W'} - d_{VW'}, d_W + d_{V'} - d_{WV'} \leq d_V + d_W - d_{VW}$

- $d_{UV'} + d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'} \leq d_{UV} + d_{VW'} - d_{UVW'}, d_{UV'} + d_{V'W} - d_{UV'W}$
  $\leq d_{UV} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW}$
- $d_{UW'} + d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'} \leq d_{UW'} + d_{VW'} - d_{UVW'}, d_{UW} + d_{V'W} - d_{UV'W}$
  $\leq d_{UW} + d_{VW} - d_{UVW}$
- $d_{UV'} + d_{UW'} - d_{UV'W'} \leq d_{UV} + d_{UW'} - d_{UVW'}, d_{UV'} + d_{UW} - d_{UV'W}$
  $\leq d_{UV} + d_{UW} - d_{UVW}$
- $d_U + d_{V'} + d_{W'} - d_{UV'} - d_{UW'} - d_{V'W'} + d_{UV'W'}$
  $\leq d_U + d_V + d_{W'} - d_{UV} - d_{UW'} - d_{VW'} + d_{UVW'},\ d_U + d_{V'} + d_W - d_{UV'} -$
  $d_{UW} - d_{V'W} + d_{UV'W}$
  $\leq d_U + d_V + d_W - d_{UV} - d_{UW} - d_{VW} + d_{UVW}$

Given a pair of vectors $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ that satisfy BDP1 and BDP2, we say the pair $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ satisfies the *basic dimension properties* (BDP).

Given a pair of vectors $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ satisfying BDP, let V, U, W be any fixed spaces whose dimensions correspond to those in $\underline{d_1}$ and such that $W + V = U + V$. Define $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ to be the number of pairs (V',W') whose dimensions correspond to those in $\underline{d_2}$, such that $V' \subseteq V$. $W' \subseteq W$ and $W' + V' = U + V'$. For a pair $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ that doesn't satisfy BDP, define $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$.

The following three lemmas shows that the function c is well defined and calculates its value in terms of $\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}$.

Lemma 26. *Let $\underline{d_1}$ and $\underline{d_2}$ be vectors of the form given in* (33)*, such that $d_{V'} = 0$. Then*

$$c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 1$$

if $\underline{d_1}$ satisfies BDP1 with $d_U = d_{UW}$, $d_{UV} = d_{UVW}$ and $\underline{d_2} = (0, d_U, 0, d_U, d_{VU}, 0, 0, d_{VU}, 0, 0)$; and $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. If $\underline{d_1}$ doesn't satisfy BDP1 then $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$ by definition.

Let $\underline{d_1}$ be a vector satisfying BDP1 and let V, U, W be fixed spaces whose dimensions correspond to the values in $\underline{d_1}$, such that $V + W = V + U$.

Since $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ gives the number of pairs (V', W') with $V' \subseteq V$, $W' \subseteq W$ and $W' + V' = U + V'$, if $d_{V'} = 0$ then $V' = \emptyset$ and $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ is simply the number of spaces $W' \subseteq W$ such that $W' = U$. Hence there is precisely one choice for W', namely $W' = U$. This is possible if and only if $U \subseteq W$, which is the case if and only if $d_U = d_{UW}$. Note that $U \subseteq W$ also forces $d_{UV} = d_{UVW}$. Once we set $V' = \emptyset$ and $W' = U$ all the entries in $\underline{d_2}$ are fixed as in the statement of the lemma (note that $\underline{d_2}$ satisfies BDP2, hence $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ satisfy BDP). The result follows. □

Lemma 27. *Let $\underline{d_1}$ and $\underline{d_2}$ be vectors of the form given in* (33)*, such that $d_{V'W'} = 0$. Then*

$$c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = q^{d_{W'} d_{V'}} l(d_V, d_{UV}, d_{WV}, d_{V'}, d_{UWV}, d_{UV'}, d_{WV'}, d_{UWV'})$$

(where l is as defined in Lemma 25) if $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ satisfies BDP with

$$\begin{aligned} d_U - d_{UV'} = d_{UW} - d_{UWV'} = d_{W'} = d_{UW'} \text{ and} \\ d_{UV} - d_{UV'} = d_{UVW} - d_{UWV'} = d_{VW'} = d_{UVW'}; \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. If $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ don't satisfy BDP then $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$ by definition.

Let $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ be a pair of vectors satisfying BDP with $d_{V'W'} = 0$, and let V, U, W be fixed spaces whose dimensions correspond to the values in $\underline{d_1}$, such that $V + W = V + U$. Since $d_{V'W'} = 0$, we wish to count pairs (V', W') with trivial intersection.

First we pick V' to be a $d_{V'}$-dimensional subspace of V with $\dim(U \cap V') = d_{UV'}$, $\dim(W \cap V') = d_{WV'}$ and $\dim(U \cap W \cap V') = d_{UWV'}$.

Note that, since $V' \subseteq V$ we have $U \cap V' = (U \cap V) \cap V'$, $W \cap V' = (W \cap V) \cap V'$ and $U \cap W \cap V' = (U \cap V) \cap (W \cap V) \cap V'$. Therefore, it is equivalent to count $d_{V'}$-dimensional subspaces of V with $\dim((U \cap V) \cap V') = d_{UV'}$, $\dim((W \cap V) \cap V') = d_{WV'}$ and $\dim((U \cap V) \cap (W \cap V) \cap V') = d_{UWV'}$, where $U \cap V$ and $W \cap V$ are subspaces of V and $\dim((U \cap V) \cap (W \cap V)) = d_{UVW}$. This value is given in Lemma 25 to be

$$l(d_V, d_{UV}, d_{WV}, d_{V'}, d_{UWV}, d_{UV'}, d_{WV'}, d_{UWV'}).$$

Fix one such subspace V'. We must now count $d_{W'}$-dimensional spaces $W' \subseteq W$ such that $U + V' = W' + V'$.

Consider the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m / V'$. For $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$, let $[A]$ denote the image of A in the quotient $\mathbb{F}_q^m / V'$, then $d_{[A]} = d_A - d_{AV'}$.

Let

$$[\underline{d_1}] = (d_{[U]}, d_{[V]}, d_{[W]}, d_{[UV]}, d_{[UW]}, d_{[VW]}, d_{[UVW]})$$

and let

$$[\underline{d_2}] = (d_{[V']}, d_{[W']}, d_{[UV']}, d_{[UW']}, d_{[VW']}, d_{[WV']}, d_{[V'W']}, d_{[UVW']}, d_{[UWV']}, d_{[UV'W']})$$

It follows that

$$[\underline{d_1}] = (d_U - d_{UV'}, d_V - d_{V'}, d_W - d_{WV'}, \\ d_{UV} - d_{UV'}, d_{UW} - d_{UWV'}, d_{VW} - d_{WV'}, d_{UVW} - d_{UWV'})$$

and

$$[\underline{d_2}] = (0, d_{W'}, 0, d_{UW'}, d_{VW'}, 0, 0, d_{UVW'}, 0, 0).$$

Thus in the quotient by V' the dimensions in $\underline{d_1}$ and $\underline{d_2}$ drop by either $d_{V'}, d_{UV'}, d_{WV'}$ or $d_{UWV'}$. Therefore, we are able to quotient in this way with any choice of V' with these fixed values. Note that, since $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ satisfies BDP, it follows that $([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ also satisfies BDP.

Now, by Lemma 26, $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}]) = 1$ if $d_{[U]} = d_{[UW]} = d_{[W']} = d_{[UW']}$ and $d_{[UV]} = d_{[UVW]} = d_{[VW']} = d_{[UVW']}$; and $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}]) = 0$ otherwise. Hence there is precisely one possible choice for the space $[W'] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m / V'$ and it is necessary that $d_{[U]} = d_{[UW]} = d_{[W']} = d_{[UW']}$ and $d_{[UV]} = d_{[UVW]} = d_{[VW']} = d_{[UVW']}$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} d_U - d_{UV'} = d_{UW} - d_{UWV'} = d_{W'} = d_{UW'} \text{ and} \\ d_{UV} - d_{UV'} = d_{UVW} - d_{UWV'} = d_{VW'} = d_{UVW'}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

By Lemma 3 there are precisely $q^{(d_{W'}-0)(d_{V'}-0)} = q^{d_{W'}d_{V'}}$ spaces W' in $\mathbb{F}_q^m$, with $W' \cap V' = \emptyset$, whose image in $\mathbb{F}_q^m / V'$ is $[W']$. Thus for the fixed choice of V', there are $q^{d_{W'}d_{V'}}$ possible choices for W' if (35) holds, and zero possible choices otherwise. Since this only depends on the fixed dimensions of V', multiplying the number of possible choices for W' by the number of possible choices for V' gives the result. □

Lemma 28. *The function $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ is well defined and is given by*

$$c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = q^{(d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'})(d_{UVW} - d_{UV'W'})} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} d_{VW} - d_{UVW} \\ d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d_{UVW} \\ d_{UV'W'} \end{bmatrix}_q c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}]), \tag{36}$$

where

$$[\underline{d_1}] = (d_U - d_{UV'W'}, d_V - d_{V'W'}, d_W - d_{V'W'}, d_{UV} - d_{UV'W'}, \\ d_{UW} - d_{UV'W'}, d_{VW} - d_{V'W'}, d_{UVW} - d_{UV'W'})$$

and

$$[\underline{d_2}] = (d_{V'} - d_{V'W'}, d_{W'} - d_{V'W'}, d_{UV'} - d_{UV'W'}, d_{UW'} - d_{UV'W'}, \\ d_{VW'} - d_{V'W'}, d_{WV'} - d_{V'W'}, 0, d_{UVW'} - d_{UV'W'}, d_{UWV'} - d_{UV'W'}, 0)$$

and the value $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ *is given in Lemma 27.*

Proof. If $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ does not satisfy BDP then $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) = 0$ by definition.

Let $(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ be a pair of vectors satisfying BDP, and let V, U, W be fixed spaces whose dimensions correspond to the values in $\underline{d_1}$, such that $V + W = V + U$.

We begin by caluclating the number of pairs (V', W') when $V' \cap W'$ is some fixed $d_{V'W'}$-dimensional space. We will show that this count does not depend on the specific choice of $V' \cap W'$, but only on the dimensions $d_{V'W'}$ and $d_{UV'W'}$, thus in order to calculate $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ we can multiply this count by the number of possibilities for the space $V' \cap W'$, with fixed values of $d_{V'W'}$ and $d_{UV'W'}$.

Let S_1 be a fixed $d_{V'W'}$ dimensional subspace of $V \cap W$, with $\dim(U \cap S_1) = d_{UV'W'}$. We will count spaces W', V' such that $V' \cap W' = S_1$. Consider the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m / S_1$, this is a space of dimension $m - d_{V'W'}$.

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$, let $[A]$ denote the image of A in the quotient $\mathbb{F}_q^m / S_1$, then $d_{[A]} = d_A - d_{AS_1}$. Since $S_1 = V' \cap W'$, the various dimensions in $\underline{d_1}$ and $\underline{d_2}$ will drop in the quotient by either $d_{V'W'}$ or $d_{UV'W'}$, giving

$$(d_{[U]}, d_{[V]}, d_{[W]}, d_{[UV]}, d_{[UW]}, d_{[VW]}, d_{[UVW]}) = [\underline{d_1}]$$

and

$$(d_{[V']}, d_{[W']}, d_{[UV']}, d_{[UW']}, d_{[VW']}, d_{[WV']}, d_{[V'W']}, d_{[UVW']}, d_{[UWV']}, d_{[UV'W']}) = [\underline{d_2}]$$

where $[\underline{d_1}]$ and $[\underline{d_2}]$ are as in the statement of the lemma.

The value of $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ is given in Lemma 27; this gives the number of pairs $([V'], [W'])$ in the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m / S_1$. There is a direct correspondence between pairs (V', W') containing S_1 and their image in the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m / S_1$. Therefore the number of pairs (V', W') with $V' \cap W' = S_1$ is equal to $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$.

Note that, $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ does not depend on the specific space S_1, but only on $\dim(S_1) = d_{V'W'}$ and $\dim(S_1 \cap U) = d_{UV'W'}$. Therefore if we multiply $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ by the number of possibilities for $S_1 \subseteq V \cap W$ with $\dim(S_1) = d_{V'W'}$ and $\dim(S_1 \cap U) = d_{UV'W'}$ we will obtain the number of pairs (V', W') of the required form with $\dim(V' \cap W') = d_{V'W'}$ and $\dim(U \cap V' \cap W') = d_{UV'W'}$, that is, we will obtain the value $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$.

In order to count the number of possible choices for S_1 we begin by fixing a $d_{UV'W'}$-dimensional subspace $S_2 \subseteq U \cap V \cap W$ and will count spaces S_1 with $S_1 \cap U = S_2$. There are

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_{UVW} \\ d_{UV'W'} \end{bmatrix}_q \tag{37}$$

possible choices for S_2. Fix one.

Now, by Corollary 4 there are

$$q^{(d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'})(d_{UVW} - d_{UV'W'})} \begin{bmatrix} d_{VW} - d_{UVW} \\ d_{V'W'} - d_{UV'W'} \end{bmatrix}_q \tag{38}$$

spaces S_1 with $S_1 \cap U = S_2$. Since this doesn't depend on the specific space S_2, only its dimension, multiplying together (37) and (38) gives the total number of possible choices for the space S_1. Multiplying the number of choices for S_1 by $c([\underline{d_1}], [\underline{d_2}])$ gives the result. □

Recall that the aim of this subsection was to count the number of pairs (V', W') such that $V' \subseteq V$, $W' \subseteq W$, $U + V' = W' + V'$ and the values $d_{V'}, d_{W'}, d_{V'W'}$ are fixed. The following lemma gives this result.

Lemma 29. *Let $V, U, W \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ be some fixed spaces, such that $V + W = V + U$. The number of pairs (V', W') such that $V' \subseteq V$, $W' \subseteq W$ and $V' + W' = V' + U$ with $\dim V' = d_{V'}$, $\dim W' = d_{W'}$ and $\dim V' \cap W' = d_{V'W'}$ depends only on the dimensions $d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}, d_{V'}, d_{W'}, d_{V'W'}$ and is given by*

$$c'((d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW}; d_{V'}, d_{W'}, d_{V'W'}) = \sum_{i,j,k,p,q,s,t=0}^{m} c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2}) \tag{39}$$

where $\underline{d_1} = (d_U, d_V, d_W, d_{UV}, d_{UW}, d_{VW}, d_{UVW})$ and $\underline{d_2} = (d_{V'}, d_{W'}, i, j, k, p, d_{V'W'}, q, s, t)$ and the value $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ is given in Lemma 28.

Proof. Since the entries of $\underline{d_1}$ correspond to the fixed spaces U, V and W, BDP1 is satisfied. Then given some fixed i, j, k, p, q, s, t, the value $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ gives the number of pairs (V', W') of the required form with $d_{UV'} = i$, $d_{UW'} = j$, $d_{VW'} = k$, $d_{WV'} = p$, $d_{UVW'} = q$, $d_{UWV'} = s$ and $d_{UV'W'} = t$. Note that some choices of i, j, k, p, q, s, t will result in no such spaces (V', W'), in this case BDP2 will not be satisfied, and the value $c(\underline{d_1}, \underline{d_2})$ is zero by definition. Therefore, summing over all possible values of i, j, k, p, q, s, t gives the result. □

7.4 Calculating the matrix functions

We have now established the necessary preliminary results in order to prove Lemmas 7, 8 and 9 and give expressions for the matrix functions f_0, f_1 and f_2. These are given in Lemma 30, Theorem 31 and Theorem 32, respectively.

Lemma 30. *Let U be a subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimension u. The number of matrices $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(M) = U$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m and u. We write $f_0(u)$ for the number of matrices M of this form. For $0 \leq u \leq \min\{n, m\}$, f_0 is given by*

$$f_0(u) = \sum_{v=0}^{u} (-1)^{(u-v)} q^{\binom{u-v}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}_q. \tag{40}$$

Proof. Let

$$f(V) = |\{M : M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}, \mathrm{Row}(M) = V\}|,$$

and let

$$g(V) = |\{M : M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m}, \mathrm{Row}(M) \subseteq V\}|.$$

Then

$$g(V) = \sum_{U \subseteq V} f(U).$$

Therefore by Lemma 19

$$f(U) = \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{(\dim(U) - \dim(V))} q^{\binom{\dim(U) - \dim(V)}{2}} g(V). \tag{41}$$

Now, $g(V)$ is the number of $n \times m$ matrices whose rowspace is contained in V. For any $n \times m$ matrix M, $\mathrm{Row}(M) \subseteq V$ if and only if each row of M is an element of V. Therefore there are $q^{\dim(V)}$ choices for each row, hence $q^{n \dim(V)}$ possible matrices. Thus

$$g(V) = q^{n \dim(V)}. \tag{42}$$

Substituting (42) into (41) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(U) &= \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{(\dim(U)-\dim(V))} q^{\binom{\dim(U)-\dim(V)}{2}} q^{n\dim(V)} \\ &= \sum_{V \subseteq U} (-1)^{(\dim(U)-\dim(V))} q^{\left(n\dim(V)+\binom{\dim(U)-\dim(V)}{2}\right)} \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{\dim(U)} (-1)^{(\dim(U)-v)} q^{\left(nv+\binom{\dim(U)-v}{2}\right)} \begin{bmatrix} \dim(U) \\ v \end{bmatrix}_q, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where (43) follows since there are $\begin{bmatrix} \dim(U) \\ v \end{bmatrix}_q$ subspaces $V \subseteq U$ of dimension v for $v = 0, \ldots, \dim(U)$.

Note that $f(U)$ depends only on the dimension of the space U; therefore f_0 is well defined and (43) establishes (40). □

Theorem 31. *Let U and V be subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ of dimensions u and v respectively. Let $h = \dim((U+V)/V)$. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$ be a fixed matrix such that $\mathrm{Row}(M) = U$. Let r be a non-negative integer. The number of matrices $B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r}$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(M+B) = V$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m, r, u, v and h. We write $f_1(u,v,h;r)$ for the number of matrices B of this form.*

For $u - h \le v \le \min\{n,m\}$ and $h \le r \le v + h$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u,v,h;r) = &\sum_{d_{UW}=0}^{\min\{u,r\}} \sum_{d_{UWV}=0}^{\min\{v-h,r-h,d_{UW}\}} l(u,v,r,v-h,d_{UW},r-h,d_{UVW})\cdot \\ \cdot &\sum_{d_{W'}=0}^{r} \sum_{d_{V'}=0}^{v} \sum_{d_{V'W'}=0}^{\min\{d_{W'},d_{V'}\}} c'((u,v,r,v-h,d_{UW},r-h,d_{UVW};d_{V'},d_{W'},d_{V'W'}))\cdot \\ &\cdot (-1)^{(r-d_{W'}+v-d_{V'})} q^{\left(\binom{r-d_{W'}}{2}+\binom{v-d_{V'}}{2}\right)} q^{n(d_{W'V'})}, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where the values of the functions l and c' are given in Lemmas 25 and 29, respectively. When $v < u - h$, $v > \min\{n,m\}$, $r < h$ or $r > v + h$, $f_1(u,v,h;r) = 0$.

Proof. We begin by establishing the regions for which f_1 is zero.

Since $M, B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m}$, if $V = \mathrm{Row}(M+B)$ we must have $\dim(V) \le \min\{n,m\}$; thus $f_1(v,u,h;r) = 0$ for $v > \min\{n,m\}$. Since $h = \dim((U+V)/V)$, by Lemma 2 it follows that $\dim(U \cap V) = u - h$. Clearly $\dim(V) \ge \dim(U \cap V)$, hence $f_1(u,v,h;r) = 0$ for $v < u - h$.

Consider the quotient space $\mathbb{F}_q^m/V$. For a subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$, let $[S]$ denote the image of S in $\mathbb{F}_q^m/V$. Then $[U] = (U+V)/V$, so $\dim([\mathrm{Row}(M)]) = \dim([U]) = h$.

Let B be an $n \times m$ matrix of rank r. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ let m_i denote the i-th row of M and let b_i denote the i-th row of B. If $\mathrm{Row}(M+B) \subseteq V$ it follows that for each i, $m_i + b_i = v_i$ for some $v_i \in V$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} b_i + V &= -m_i + v_i + V \\ &= -m_i + V \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{Row}(B)] &= \mathrm{Span}\{-m_1 + V, \ldots, -m_n + V\} \\ &= [\mathrm{Row}(M)] \\ &= [U]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Therefore $[\mathrm{Row}(B)]$ is uniquely defined by M and V, and so $\dim([\mathrm{Row}(B)]) = \dim([U]) = h$.

Note that, $\mathrm{rk}(B) \geq \dim([\mathrm{Row}(B)]) = h$, hence $f_1(u,v,h;r) = 0$ for $r < h$. Also, $r - h = \dim(\mathrm{Row}(B) \cap V) \leq \dim(V)$, so $f_1(u,v,h;r) = 0$ for $r > v + h$.

We have established that f_1 is zero whenever $v < u - h$, $v > \min\{n,m\}$, $r < h$ or $r > v + h$.

We now go on to calculate f_1 for $u - h \leq v \leq \min\{n,m\}$ and $h \leq r \leq v + h$.

Let $S = [U]$, so that for any matrix B of the required form, we must have $[\mathrm{Row}(B)] = S$.

If $h \leq r \leq \dim(V) + h$, given any r-dimensional subspace W, of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $[W] = S$, there exists a matrix B with $\mathrm{Row}(B) \subseteq W$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(M + B) \subseteq V$. To see this, since $[W] = S$, there exists vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$ such that $-m_i + v_i \in W$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Take the i-th row of the matrix B to be $-m_i + v_i$, then the matrix B has the required form. Note that, for any space $W \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, since $[W] = (W + V)/V$ and $S = (U + V)/V$, it follows that $[W] = S$ if and only if $W + V = U + V$.

For a fixed space W with $[W] = S$ we count the number of matrices B, such that $\mathrm{Row}(B) \subseteq W$ and $\mathrm{Row}(M + B) \subseteq V$. We will then use Möbius inversion to count the number of matrices B, such that $\mathrm{Row}(B) = W$ and $\mathrm{Row}(M + B) = V$. We will show that this number does not depend on the specific choice of W, only on its dimension, r. Therefore we can simply take this number and multiply by the number of choices for W to get the total number of matrices B, of the required form.

By Corollary 5 the number of r-dimensional subspaces, W, of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $[W] = S$ is

$$q^{h(v-r+h)} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q \tag{47}$$

Fix one such subspace W. Then we have shown that there exists a matrix B with $\mathrm{Row}(B) \subseteq W$ such that $\mathrm{Row}(M + B) \subseteq V$. Given one such matrix B and fixed vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$, consider the matrix

$$B' = B + \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{48}$$

Then since $v_i \in V$, $\mathrm{Row}(M + B') \subseteq V$. Note that adding anything to B not in V will result in a matrix B'' such that $\mathrm{Row}(M + B'') \nsubseteq V$, so we are only interested in adding rows in V. Now, if $v_i \in W \cap V$ for each i, then $\mathrm{Row}(B') \subseteq W$. However if for any i, $v_i \notin W$ then $\mathrm{Row}(B') \nsubseteq W$. Thus B' is an $n \times m$ matrix with $\mathrm{Row}(B') \subseteq W$ and $\mathrm{Row}(M + B') \subseteq V$ if and only if B' is of the form given in (48) with $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in W \cap V$. Therefore the number of matrices B of the required form is equal to the number of choices for $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in W \cap V$. There are $q^{(\dim(W \cap V))}$ choices for each v_i, hence $q^{n(\dim(W \cap V))}$ choices for the ordered set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. By Lemma 2, $\dim(W \cap V) = r - h$, thus we have shown that the number of matrices B, with $\mathrm{Row}(B) \subseteq W$ and $\mathrm{Row}(M + B) \subseteq V$, is

$$q^{n(\dim(W \cap V))} = q^{n(r-h)}.$$

Recall, $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$ is the poset of all subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^m$, ordered by containment. Consider the direct product $\mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$. For $(W', V') \in \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m) \times \mathrm{Po}(\mathbb{F}_q^m)$, let

$$f((W', V')) = |\{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m} : \mathrm{Row}(B) = W', \mathrm{Row}(M + B) = V'\}|,$$

and let

$$g((W', V')) = |\{B \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n \times m} : \mathrm{Row}(B) \subseteq W', \mathrm{Row}(M + B) \subseteq V'\}|.$$

The above argument shows that

$$g((W', V')) = \begin{cases} q^{n(\dim(W' \cap V'))} & \text{if } W' + V' = U + V', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{49}$$

By the definition of f and g,

$$g((W,V)) = \sum_{(W',V')\leq(W,V)} f((W',V')).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 21

$$\begin{aligned} &f((W,V)) \\ &= \sum_{(W',V')\leq(W,V)} (-1)^{(r-\dim(W')+v-\dim(V'))} q^{\binom{r-\dim(W')}{2}+\binom{v-\dim(V')}{2}} g((W',V')) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(W',V')\leq(W,V)\\ W'+V'=U+V'}} (-1)^{(r-\dim(W')+v-\dim(V'))} q^{\binom{r-\dim(W')}{2}+\binom{v-\dim(V')}{2}} q^{n(\dim(W'\cap V'))}, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where (50) follows from (49).

Suppose that for our fixed space W, $\dim(U\cap W) = d_{UW}$ and $\dim(U\cap V\cap W) = d_{UVW}$. Then by Lemma 29, the number of pairs (W',V'), with $(W',V')\leq(W,V)$ and $W'+V' = U+V'$, with $\dim(W') = d_{W'}$, $\dim V' = d_{V'}$ and $\dim(W'\cap V') = d_{W'V'}$ is

$$c'(\underline{d}) = c'((u,v,r,v-h,d_{UW},r-h,d_{UVW};d_{V'},d_{W'},d_{V'W'})), \tag{51}$$

where c' is as defined in Lemma 29.

Substituting (51) into (50) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f((W,V)) = \sum_{d_{W'}=0}^{r}\sum_{d_{V'}=0}^{v}\sum_{d_{V'W'}=0}^{\min\{d_{W'},d_{V'}\}} c'(\underline{d})\cdot \\ \cdot (-1)^{(r-d_{W'}+v-d_{V'})} q^{\binom{r-d_{W'}}{2}+\binom{v-d_{V'}}{2}} q^{n(d_{W'V'})}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Thus we have calculated the number of matrices of the required form with a specific row space W.

Now, by Lemma 25 the number of spaces W with $\dim(W) = r$, $\dim(W\cap V) = r-h$, $\dim(U\cap W) = d_{UW}$ and $\dim(U\cap V\cap W) = d_{UVW}$ is $l(m,u,v,r,v-h,d_{UW},r-h,d_{UVW})$, where l is as defined in Lemma 25.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u,v,h;r) &= \sum_{W} f((W,V)) \\ &= \sum_{d_{UW}=0}^{\min\{u,r\}}\sum_{d_{UWV}=0}^{\min\{v-h,r-h,d_{UW}\}} l(m,u,v,r,v-h,d_{UW},r-h,d_{UVW})\cdot \\ &\quad\cdot \sum_{d_{W'}=0}^{r}\sum_{d_{V'}=0}^{v}\sum_{d_{V'W'}=0}^{\min\{d_{W'},d_{V'}\}} c'(\underline{d})(-1)^{(r-d_{W'}+v-d_{V'})}\cdot \\ &\quad\cdot q^{\binom{r-d_{W'}}{2}+\binom{v-d_{V'}}{2}} q^{n(d_{W'V'})} \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

Theorem 32. *Let r, r_B and r_X be non-negative integers. Let X be a fixed matrix such that $\mathrm{rk}(X) = r_X$. The number of matrices $B\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n\times m,r_B}$ such that $\mathrm{rk}(X+B) = r$ can be efficiently computed; it depends only on q, n, m, r, r_B and r_X. We write $f_2(r,r_X,r_B)$ for the number of matrices B of this form, and the value is given by*

$$f_2(r,r_X,r_B) = \sum_{h=0}^{\min\{r,r_X\}} q^{(r-h)(r_X-h)} \begin{bmatrix} m-r_X \\ r-h \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r_X \\ h \end{bmatrix}_q f_1(r_X,r,h;r_B).$$

Proof. Using the definition of f_1 given in Theorem 31, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_2(r, r_X, r_B) \\ &= \sum_{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m : \dim(V) = r} f_1(r_X, r, \dim(V \cap \mathrm{Row}(X)); r_B) \qquad (53) \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^{\min\{r, r_X\}} |\{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m : \dim(V) = r, \dim(V \cap \mathrm{Row}(X)) = h\}| f_1(r_X, r, h; r_B) \qquad (54) \end{aligned}$$

where (53) follows since the number of matrices B with $\mathrm{rk}(X + B) = r$ is equal to the number of matrices B with $\mathrm{Row}(X + B) = V$, summed over all spaces $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ with $\dim(V) = r$.

By Corollary 6, the number of r-dimensional subspaces $V \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$, with $\dim(V \cap \mathrm{Row}(X)) = h$ is

$$q^{(r-h)(r_X-h)} \begin{bmatrix} m - r_X \\ r - h \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} r_X \\ h \end{bmatrix}_q. \qquad (55)$$

Substituting (55) into (54) gives the result. □

Acknowledgements

Jessica Claridge would like to acknowledge the support of an EPSRC PhD studentship. Both authors would like to acknowledge the support of the EU COST Action IC1104.

References

[1] R. Ahlswede, Ning Cai, S.-Y.R. Li, and R.W. Yeung. Network information flow. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 46(4):1204–1216, Jul 2000.

[2] E. A. Bender and J. R. Goldman. On the applications of Möbius inversion in combinatorial analysis. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 82(8):789–803, October 1975.

[3] Peter J Cameron. *Combinatorics: Topics, Techniques, Algorithms*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[4] Thomas M Cover and Joy A Thomas. *Elements of Information Theory*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2012.

[5] P. R. Halmos. *Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1974.

[6] Tracey Ho, M. Medard, R. Kötter, D.R. Karger, M. Effros, Jun Shi, and B. Leong. A random linear network coding approach to multicast. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 52(10):4413–4430, Oct 2006.

[7] R. Kötter and F.R. Kschischang. Coding for errors and erasures in random network coding. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 54(8):3579–3591, Aug 2008.

[8] S.-Y.R. Li, Raymond W Yeung, and Ning Cai. Linear network coding. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 49(2):371–381, 2003.

[9] A. Montanari and R.L. Urbanke. Iterative coding for network coding. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 59(3):1563–1572, March 2013.

[10] R.W. Nobrega, D. Silva, and B.F. Uchoa-Filho. On the capacity of multiplicative finite-field matrix channels. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 59(8):4949–4960, 2013.

[11] Gian-Carlo Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory I. Theory of Möbius functions. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 2(4):340–368, 1964.

[12] M.J. Siavoshani, S. Mohajer, C. Fragouli, and S.N. Diggavi. On the capacity of noncoherent network coding. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 57(2):1046–1066, Feb 2011.

[13] D. Silva, F.R. Kschischang, and R. Kötter. Communication over finite-field matrix channels. *Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on*, 56(3):1296–1305, March 2010.